

# AN INJECTIVITY THEOREM

FLORIN AMBRO

**ABSTRACT.** We generalize the injectivity theorem of Esnault and Viehweg, and apply it to structure of log canonical type divisors.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in the following *lifting problem*: given a divisor  $L$  on a complex variety  $X$  and a closed subvariety  $Y \subset X$ , when is the restriction map

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(L))$$

surjective? The standard method is to consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(L) \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces a long exact sequence in cohomology

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}_Y(L)) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(L)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_Y(L)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \cdots$$

The restriction is surjective if and only if  $\alpha$  is injective. In particular, if  $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_Y(L)) = 0$ .

If  $X$  is a nonsingular proper curve, Serre duality answers completely the lifting problem: the restriction map is not surjective if and only if  $L \sim K_X + Y - D$  for some effective divisor  $D \not\geq Y$ . In particular,  $\deg L \leq \deg(K_X + Y)$ . If  $\deg L > \deg(K_X + Y)$ , then  $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_Y(L)) = 0$ , and therefore lifting holds.

If  $X$  is a nonsingular projective surface, only sufficient criteria for lifting are known (see [19]). If  $H$  is a general hyperplane section induced by a Veronese embedding of sufficiently large degree (depending on  $L$ ), then  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow \Gamma(H, \mathcal{O}_H(L))$  is an isomorphism (Enriques-Severi-Zariski). If  $H$  is a hyperplane section of  $X$ , then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + H)) = 0$  ( $i > 0$ ) (Picard-Severi).

These classical results were extended by Serre [15] as follows: if  $X$  is affine and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  ( $i > 0$ ). If  $X$  is projective,  $H$  is ample and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(mH)) = 0$  ( $i > 0$ ) for  $m$  sufficiently large.

Kodaira extended Picard-Severi's result as follows: if  $X$  is a projective complex manifold, and  $H$  is an ample divisor, then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + H)) = 0$  ( $i > 0$ ). This vanishing remains true over a field of characteristic zero, but may fail in positive characteristic (Mumford [13]). Kodaira's vanishing is central in the classification theory of complex algebraic varieties, but one has to weaken the positivity of  $H$  to apply it successfully: it still holds if  $H$  is only semiample and big (Ramanujam [14]), or if  $K_X + H$  is replaced by  $[K_X + H]$  for a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $H$  which is nef and big, whose fractional part is supported by a normal crossings divisor (Kawamata [11], Viehweg [18]).

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS - UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-RU-TE-2011-3-0097.

<sup>2</sup>2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 14F17. Secondary: 14E30.

The first lifting criterion in the absence of bigness is due to Tankeev [17]: if  $X$  is proper nonsingular and  $Y \subset X$  is the general member of a free linear system, then the restriction

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + 2Y)) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_X + 2Y))$$

is surjective. Kollár [12] extended it to the following injectivity theorem: if  $H$  is a semi-ample divisor and  $D \in |m_0 H|$  for some  $m_0 \geq 1$ , then the homomorphism

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + mH)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + mH + D))$$

is injective for all  $m \geq 1, q \geq 0$ . Esnault and Viehweg [6, 7] removed completely the positivity assumption, to obtain the following injectivity result: let  $L$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  such that  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_X + \sum_i b_i E_i$ , where  $\sum_i E_i$  is a normal crossings divisor and  $0 < b_i \leq 1$  are real numbers. If  $D$  is an effective divisor support by  $\sum_{b_i \neq 1} E_i$ , then the homomorphism

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L + D))$$

is injective, for all  $q$ . The original result [7, Theorem 5.1] was stated in terms of roots of sections of powers of a line bundle, and restated in this form in [2, Theorem 3.2]. It was used in [1, 2] to derive basic properties of log varieties and quasi-log varieties.

The main result of this paper (Theorem 2.3) is that Esnault-Viehweg's injectivity remains true even if some components  $E_i$  of  $D$  have  $b_i = 1$ . In fact, it reduces to the special case when all  $b_i = 1$ , which has the following geometric interpretation:

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $X$  be a proper nonsingular variety, defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let  $\Sigma$  be a normal crossings divisor on  $X$ , let  $U = X \setminus \Sigma$ . Then the restriction homomorphism*

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \Sigma)) \rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U(K_U))$$

*is injective, for all  $q$ .*

Combined with Serre vanishing on affine varieties, it gives:

**Corollary 0.2.** *Let  $X$  be a proper nonsingular variety, defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let  $\Sigma$  be a normal crossings divisor on  $X$  such that  $X \setminus \Sigma$  is contained in an affine open subset of  $X$ . Then*

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \Sigma)) = 0$$

*for  $q > 0$ .*

If  $X \setminus \Sigma$  itself is affine, this result is due to Esnault and Viehweg [7, page 5]. It implies the Kodaira vanishing theorem, and its singular version implies the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem.

We outline the structure of this paper. After some preliminaries in Section 1, we prove the main injectivity result in Section 2. The proof is similar to that of Esnault-Viehweg, except that we do not use duality. It is an immediate consequence of the Atiyah-Hodge Lemma and Deligne's degeneration of the logarithmic Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence. In section 3, we introduce the *locus of canonical singularities* and the *non-log canonical locus* of a log variety. The latter has the same support as the subscheme structure for the non-log canonical locus introduced in [1], but the scheme structure usually differ (see Remark 3.4). In Section 4, we extend the injectivity theorem to the category of log varieties. In Section 5, we apply it to the structure of Cartier divisors  $L$  such that  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B$  for some log variety  $(X, B)$  with log canonical singularities. In

Section 6, we establish the *lifting property* of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(L))$  for a Cartier divisor  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B$ , with  $Y$  the non-log canonical locus of  $X$  (Theorem 6.2). We give two applications for this unexpected property. For a proper generalized log Calabi-Yau variety, we show that the non lc-locus is connected and intersects every lc center. And we obtain an extension theorem from a union of lc centers, in the log canonical case. We expect this extension to play a key role in the characterization of the restriction of log canonical rings to lc centers. In Section 7, we obtain some vanishing theorems for sheaves of logarithmic forms of intermediate degree. The results are the same as in [7], except that the complement of the boundary is only contained in an affine open subset, instead of being itself affine. They suggest that injectivity may extend to forms of intermediate degree (Question 8.1). In Section 8 we list some questions that appeared naturally during this work.

## 1. PRELIMINARY

**1-A. Directed limits.** A *directed family* of abelian groups  $(A_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$  consists of homomorphisms of abelian groups  $\varphi_{mn}: A_m \rightarrow A_n$ , for  $m \leq n$ , such that  $\varphi_{mm} = \text{id}_{A_m}$  and  $\varphi_{np} \circ \varphi_{mn} = \varphi_{mp}$  for  $m \leq n \leq p$ . The *directed limit*  $\varinjlim_m A_m$  of  $(A_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is defined as the quotient of  $\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} A_m$  modulo the subgroup generated by  $x_m - \varphi_{mn}(x_m)$  for all  $m \leq n$  and  $x_m \in A_m$ . The homomorphisms  $\mu_m: A_m \rightarrow \varinjlim_n A_n, a_m \mapsto [a_m]$  are compatible with  $\varphi_{mn}$ , and they satisfy the following universal property: if  $B$  is an abelian group and  $f_n: A_n \rightarrow B$  are homomorphisms compatible with  $\varphi_{mn}$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $f: \varinjlim_m A_m \rightarrow B$  such that  $f_m = f \circ \mu_m$  for all  $m$ . From the explicit description of the directed limit, the following properties hold:  $\varinjlim_n A_n = \bigcup_m \mu_m(A_m)$ , and  $\text{Ker}(A_m \rightarrow \varinjlim_n A_n) = \bigcup_{m \leq n} \text{Ker}(A_m \rightarrow A_n)$ . In particular, we obtain

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $(A_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a directed system of abelian groups.*

- 1)  $A_m \rightarrow \varinjlim_n A_n$  is injective if and only if  $A_m \rightarrow A_n$  is injective for all  $n \geq m$ .
- 2) Let  $(B_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be another directed family of abelian groups, let  $f_m: A_m \rightarrow B_m$  be a sequence of compatible homomorphisms. They induce a homomorphism  $f: \varinjlim_m A_m \rightarrow \varinjlim_m B_m$ . If  $f_m$  is injective for  $m \geq m_0$ , then  $f$  is injective.

**1-B. Homomorphisms induced in cohomology.** For standard notations and results see Grothendieck [8]. Let  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  be morphisms of ringed spaces. Denote  $\pi' = \pi \circ f: X' \rightarrow S$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module,  $\mathcal{F}'$  an  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -module, and  $u: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{F}'$  a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. Then  $u$  induces functorial homomorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules

$$u: R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R^q \pi'_* (\mathcal{F}').$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}'$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -module. Grothendieck-Leray constructed a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = R^p \pi_* (R^q f_* \mathcal{F}') \implies R^{p+q} \pi'_* (\mathcal{F}').$$

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}'$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -module. Let  $u: f_* \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{F}'$  be the identity. Then  $u: R^1 \pi_* (f_* \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow R^1 \pi'_* (\mathcal{F}')$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Consider the Grothendieck-Leray spectral sequence. The exact sequence of terms of low degree is

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* (f_* \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow R^1 \pi'_* (\mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \pi_* (R^1 f_* \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow R^2 \pi_* (f_* \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow R^2 \pi'_* (\mathcal{F}')$$

Therefore the claim holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a prescheme and  $w: U \subseteq X$  an open embedding such that the natural homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow w_*\mathcal{O}_U$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  be a noetherian separated morphism of preschemes. Then  $R^q\pi_*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow R^q(\pi|_U)_*(\mathcal{E}|_U)$  is an isomorphism, for all  $q$ .*

*Proof.* First, we claim that the natural homomorphism  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow w_*(\mathcal{E}|_U)$  is an isomorphism. Indeed, this is a local statement, which reduces to the case  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X$ .

To prove the statement, we may suppose  $S$  is affine. For an open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , we obtain an isomorphism of Čech complexes

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}|_U, \mathcal{E}|_U).$$

The topology of  $U$  is induced by that of  $X$ . Therefore any open covering of  $U$  can be refined by the restriction to  $U$  of some covering of  $X$ . Taking the direct limit after all  $\mathcal{U}$ , we obtain isomorphisms

$$\check{H}^q(X, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{H}^q(U, \mathcal{E}|_U).$$

Both  $X$  and  $U$  are noetherian and separated, so the natural maps from Čech cohomology to cohomology are isomorphisms. Therefore  $H^q(X, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{E}|_U)$  are isomorphisms.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  be a Noetherian morphism of schemes, let  $\mathcal{F}'$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -module,  $\mathcal{F}$  a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and  $u: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{F}'$  a homomorphism. Suppose there exists an open subset  $w: U \subseteq X$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} w_*\mathcal{O}_U$ ,  $f: f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is an isomorphism and  $u$  is an isomorphism on  $U$ . Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  be a noetherian morphism of schemes, and denote  $\pi' = \pi \circ f: X' \rightarrow S$ . Then  $u: R^q\pi_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow R^q\pi'_*\mathcal{F}'$  is injective for all  $q$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $\nu = \pi|_U$ ,  $\nu' = \pi'|_{f^{-1}U}$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^q\pi'_*(\mathcal{F}') & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R^q\nu'_*(\mathcal{F}'|_{f^{-1}U}) \\ u^q \uparrow & & \uparrow \beta \\ R^q\pi_*\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\quad \alpha \quad} & R^q\nu_*(\mathcal{F}|_U) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are restrictions to open sets and the vertical arrows are induced by  $u$ . Since  $f$  and  $u$  are isomorphisms over  $U$ ,  $\beta$  is an isomorphism. By Lemma 1.3,  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. Therefore  $\beta \circ \alpha$  is an isomorphism. The diagram commutes, hence  $u^q$  is injective.  $\square$

**Example 1.5.** Let  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism of normal algebraic varieties. Then there exists an open dense subset  $w: U \subseteq X$  such that  $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus U) \geq 2$  and  $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is an isomorphism. Since  $X$  is normal, it satisfies the property  $S_2$ , so  $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} w_*\mathcal{O}_U$ . If moreover  $u$  is an isomorphism on  $U$ , Lemma 1.4 applies.

**1-C. Weil divisors.** Let  $X$  be a normal algebraic variety defined over  $k$ , an algebraically closed field. A *prime on  $X$*  is a reduced irreducible cycle of codimension one. An  $\mathbb{R}$ -Weil divisor  $D$  on  $X$  is a formal sum

$$D = \sum_E d_E E,$$

where the sum runs after all primes on  $X$ , and  $d_E$  are real numbers such that  $\{E; d_E \neq 0\}$  has at most finitely many elements. It can be viewed as an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued function defined on

all primes, with finite support. By restricting the values to  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we obtain the notion of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Weil divisor and Weil divisor, respectively.

Let  $f \in k(X)$  be a rational function. For a prime  $E$  on  $X$ , let  $t$  be a local parameter at the generic point of  $E$ . Define  $v_E(f)$  as the supremum of all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ft^{-m}$  is regular at the generic point of  $E$ . If  $f = 0$ , then  $v_E(f) = +\infty$ . Else,  $v_E(f)$  is a well defined integer. We have  $v_E(fg) = v_E(f) + v_E(g)$  and  $v_E(f + g) \geq \min(v_E(f), v_E(g))$ .

For non-zero  $f \in k(X)$  define  $(f) = \sum_E v_E(f)E$ , where the sum runs after all primes on  $X$ . The sum has finite support, so  $(f)$  is a Weil divisor. A Weil divisor  $D$  on  $X$  is *linearly trivial*, denoted  $D \sim 0$ , if there exists  $0 \neq f \in k(X)$  such that  $D = (f)$ .

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $D$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Weil divisor on  $X$ . We call  $D$

- $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly trivial, denoted  $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0$ , if there exist finitely many  $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \neq f_i \in k(X)$  such that  $D = \sum_i r_i(f_i)$ .
- $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly trivial, denoted  $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ , if there exist finitely many  $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $0 \neq f_i \in k(X)$  such that  $D = \sum_i r_i(f_i)$ .

If  $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ , then  $D$  has rational coefficients. Conversely, if  $D$  has rational coefficients, then  $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  if and only if  $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0$ . If  $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0$ , we may perturb the non-integer coefficients of  $D$  to obtain a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ .

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $D$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Weil divisor  $D$  on  $X$ . We call  $D$   $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier ( $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, Cartier) if there exists an open covering  $X = \cup_i U_i$  such that  $D|_{U_i} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0$  ( $D|_{U_i} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ ,  $D|_{U_i} \sim 0$ ) for all  $i$ .

### 1-D. Complements of effective Cartier divisors.

**Lemma 1.8.** Let  $D$  be an effective Cartier divisor on a prescheme  $X$ . Let  $U = X \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$  and consider the open embedding  $w: U \subseteq X$ . Then

- 1)  $w$  is an affine morphism.
- 2) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. The natural inclusions  $\mathcal{F}(mD) \subset \mathcal{F}(nD)$ , for  $m \leq n$ , form a directed family of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $(\mathcal{F}(mD))_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , and

$$\varinjlim_m \mathcal{F}(mD) = w_*(\mathcal{F}|_U).$$

- 3) Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  be a quasi-compact morphism and  $\mathcal{F}$  a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then  $\varinjlim_m R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F}(mD) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q(\pi|_U)_*(\mathcal{F}|_U)$  for all  $q$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X = \cup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$  be an affine open covering such  $D = (f_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ , for non-zero divisors  $f_{\alpha} \in \Gamma(V_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O}_{V_{\alpha}})$  such that  $f_{\alpha} f_{\beta}^{-1} \in \Gamma(V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\times})$  for all  $\alpha, \beta$ .

The set  $w^{-1}(V_{\alpha}) = U \cap V_{\alpha} = D(f_{\alpha})$  is affine, so 1) holds. Statement 2) is local, equivalent to the known property

$$\Gamma(D(f_{\alpha}), \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(V_{\alpha}, \mathcal{F})_{f_{\alpha}} = \varinjlim_m \Gamma(V_{\alpha}, \mathcal{F}(mD)).$$

For 3), directed limits commute with cohomology on quasi-compact topological spaces. Therefore

$$\varinjlim_m R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F}(mD) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q \pi_* (\varinjlim_m \mathcal{F}(mD)) = R^q \pi_* (w_*(\mathcal{F}|_U)).$$

Since  $w$  is affine, the Leray spectral sequence for  $w$  degenerates to isomorphisms

$$R^q \pi_* (w_*(\mathcal{F}|_U)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q(\pi|_U)_*(\mathcal{F}|_U).$$

Therefore 3) holds. □

**Lemma 1.9.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow S$  be a proper morphism of preschemes. Let  $U \subseteq X$  be an open dense subset and  $V \subseteq S$  an open subset such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ . The following properties are equivalent:*

- 1) *The induced morphism  $g: U \rightarrow V$  is proper.*
- 2)  *$U = f^{-1}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* 1)  $\implies$  2): Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\iota} & f^{-1}(V) \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow f|_{f^{-1}(V)} \\ & V & \end{array}$$

Since  $f|_{f^{-1}(V)}$  is proper and  $g$  is separated, it follows that the open embedding  $\iota$  is proper. Since  $U$  is also dense in  $f^{-1}(V)$ , we obtain  $U = f^{-1}(V)$ .

2)  $\implies$  1): The morphism  $g$  is obtained from  $f$  by base change with open embedding  $V \subset S$ . Therefore it is proper.  $\square$

**1-E. Convention on algebraic varieties.** Throughout this paper, a variety is a scheme of finite type over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic zero.

**1-F. Differentials forms with logarithmic poles.** Let  $(X, \Sigma)$  be a *log smooth pair*, that is  $X$  is a nonsingular variety and  $\Sigma$  is an effective divisor with at most normal crossings singularities. This means that for every point  $P \in X$ , the homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{X,P}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma,P}^\wedge$  is isomorphic to  $k[[T_1, \dots, T_n]] \rightarrow k[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]/(\prod_{i \in I} T_i)$ , for  $n = \dim X$  and some  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Denote  $U = X \setminus \Sigma$ . Let  $w: U \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion. Then  $w_*(\Omega_U^\bullet)$  is the complex of rational differentials on  $X$  which are regular on  $U$ , denoted  $\Omega_X^\bullet(*\Sigma)$ . The subcomplex consisting of forms  $\omega$  such that both  $\omega$  and  $d\omega$  have at most simple poles along  $\Sigma$  is denoted  $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma)$  (see [4]). We have  $\Omega_X^n(\log \Sigma) = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \Sigma)$ , where  $K_X$  is the canonical divisor of  $X$  and  $n = \dim X$ .

**Theorem 1.10.** [3, 9, 4] *The inclusion  $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma) \subset w_*(\Omega_U^\bullet)$  is a quasi-isomorphism.*

**Theorem 1.11.** [5] *The filtered complex  $(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma), F)$ , where  $F$  is the naive filtration, induces a spectral sequence in hypercohomology*

$$E_1^{pq} = H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma)) \implies \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma)).$$

*If  $X$  is proper, this spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_1$ .*

**Lemma 1.12.** *Let  $\nu: \Sigma^\nu \rightarrow \Sigma$  be the normalization. Then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Sigma \otimes \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma) \rightarrow \Omega_X^p \rightarrow \nu_*(\Omega_{\Sigma^\nu}^p) \rightarrow 0$$

*is exact for all  $p$ .*

**1-G. Covering trick.** Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$  such that  $T \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  and  $\text{Supp}\{T\} \subseteq \Sigma$ .

Let  $r \geq 1$  be minimal such that  $rT \sim 0$ . There exists a rational function  $\varphi \in k(X)^\times$  such that  $(\varphi) = rT$ . Consider the field extension  $k(X) \subseteq k(X)(\sqrt[r]{\varphi})$ . Let  $\tau': X' \rightarrow X$  be the normalization of  $X$  in this extension. The normal variety  $X'$  is irreducible, with at most quotient singularities, and  $\tau'$  is smooth over  $X \setminus \text{Supp}\{T\}$ . Let  $\mu: Y \rightarrow X'$  be

a resolution of singularities such that  $\tau^{-1}(\Sigma) = \Sigma_Y$  is a normal crossings divisor, where  $\tau = \tau' \circ \mu$ . We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xleftarrow{\mu} & Y \\ \tau' \downarrow & \swarrow \tau & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

**Lemma 1.13.** [7, 3.22]  $R^q \tau_* \Omega_Y^p(\log \Sigma_Y) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ , and

$$\tau_* \Omega_Y^p(\log \Sigma_Y) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor iT \rfloor).$$

## 2. INJECTIVITY FOR OPEN EMBEDDINGS

Let  $(X, \Sigma)$  be a log smooth pair, with  $X$  proper. Denote  $U = X \setminus \Sigma$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The restriction homomorphism  $H^q(X, K_X + \Sigma) \rightarrow H^q(U, K_U)$  is injective, for all  $q$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the inclusion of filtered differential complexes

$$(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma), F) \subset (\Omega_X^\bullet(*\Sigma), F),$$

where  $F$  is the naive filtration of a complex. Let  $n = \dim X$ . The inclusion  $F^n \subseteq F^0$  induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}^{q+n}(X, F^n \Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma)) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathbb{H}^{q+n}(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma)) \\ \alpha^n \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \mathbb{H}^{q+n}(X, F^n \Omega_X^\bullet(*\Sigma)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{q+n}(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(*\Sigma)) \end{array}$$

By Theorem 1.10,  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. Theorem 1.11 implies that  $\beta$  is injective. Therefore  $\alpha \circ \beta$  is injective. Therefore  $\alpha^n$  is injective.

But  $F^n \Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma) = \Omega_X^n(\log \Sigma)[-n]$  and  $F^n \Omega_X^\bullet(*\Sigma) = \Omega_X^n(*\Sigma)[-n]$ . Therefore  $\alpha^n$  becomes

$$\alpha^n: H^q(X, \Omega_X^n(\log \Sigma)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \Omega_X^n(*\Sigma))$$

The inclusion  $U \subset X$  is an affine morphism, so  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^n(*\Sigma)) \rightarrow H^q(U, \Omega_U^n)$  is an isomorphism. Therefore  $\alpha^n$  becomes the restriction map

$$\alpha^n: H^q(X, \Omega_X^n(\log \Sigma)) \rightarrow H^q(U, \Omega_U^n).$$

□

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$  such that  $T \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  and  $\text{Supp}\{T\} \subseteq \Sigma$ . In particular,  $T|_U$  has integer coefficients. Then the restriction homomorphism*

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \Sigma + \lfloor T \rfloor)) \rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U(K_U + T|_U))$$

*is injective, for all  $q$ .*

*Proof.* We use the notations of the cyclic covering trick. Denote  $V = \tau^{-1}(U) = Y \setminus \Sigma_Y$ . By Theorem 2.1, the restriction map

$$H^q(T, \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + \Sigma_Y)) \rightarrow H^q(V, \mathcal{O}_V(K_V))$$

is injective. This map is compatible with the action of the cyclic group of order  $r$ , so it induces isomorphisms between the corresponding eigenspaces. By Lemma 1.13 and the Leray sequence, these maps are the restriction maps

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \Sigma + \lfloor iT \rfloor)) \rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U(K_U + iT|_U)).$$

□

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a proper non-singular variety. Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  such that  $X \setminus U$  is a normal crossings divisor with irreducible components  $(E_i)_i$ . Let  $L$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  such that  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_X + \sum_i b_i E_i$ , with  $0 < b_i \leq 1$  for all  $i$ . Then the restriction homomorphism*

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U(L|_U))$$

*is injective, for all  $q$ .*

*Proof.* We may perturb the coefficients and suppose  $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K + \sum_i b_i E_i$  and  $b_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  for all  $i$ . Set  $\Sigma = \sum_i E_i$  and  $T = L - K - \sum_i b_i E_i$ . Then  $T \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ ,  $\{T\} = \sum_i \{-b_i\} E_i$  and  $L = K_X + \Sigma + \lfloor T \rfloor$ . Corollary 2.2 gives the claim. □

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $U \subseteq U' \subseteq X$ . It follows that  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(U', \mathcal{O}_{U'}(L|_{U'}))$  is injective for all  $q$ .

**Remark 2.5.** Recall that for an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\Sigma}(X, \mathcal{F})$  is defined as the kernel of  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}|_U)$ . The functor  $\Gamma_{\Sigma}(X, \cdot)$  is left exact. Its derived functors, denoted  $(H_{\Sigma}^i(X, \mathcal{F}))_{i \geq 0}$ , are called the cohomology of  $X$  modulo  $U$ , with coefficients in  $\mathcal{F}$ . For every  $\mathcal{F}$  we have long exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\Sigma}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}|_U) \rightarrow H_{\Sigma}^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(U, \mathcal{F}|_U) \rightarrow \dots$$

Therefore Theorem 2.3 says that the homomorphism  $H_{\Sigma}^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L))$  is zero for all  $q$ . Equivalently,  $\Gamma_{\Sigma}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$ , and for all  $q$  we have short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U(L|_U)) \rightarrow H_{\Sigma}^{q+1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow 0.$$

**Remark 2.6.** By Lemmas 1.1 and 1.8, Theorem 2.3 is also equivalent to the following statement: let  $D$  be an effective Cartier divisor supported by  $\Sigma$ . Then the long exact sequence induced in cohomology by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L + D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(L + D) \rightarrow 0$$

breaks up into short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L + D)) \rightarrow H^q(D, \mathcal{O}_D(L + D)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (q \geq 0).$$

In particular, for  $q = 0$  we obtain a surjection

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L + D)) \rightarrow \Gamma(D, \mathcal{O}_D(L + D)) \rightarrow 0.$$

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $D$  be an effective Cartier divisor supported by  $\Sigma$ . Then*

$$0 \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K + \Sigma)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K + \Sigma + D)) \rightarrow H^q(D, \mathcal{O}_D(K + \Sigma + D)) \rightarrow 0$$

*is a short exact sequence, for all  $q$ .*

*Proof.* The injectivity of  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K + \Sigma)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K + \Sigma + D))$  follows from Theorem 2.1. □

**Corollary 2.8.** *The homomorphism  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K + 2\Sigma)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(K + 2\Sigma))$  is surjective.*

If  $\Sigma$  is the general member of a base point free linear system, this is the original result of Tankeev [17, Proposition 1].

### 3. LOG PAIRS

A *log pair*  $(X, B)$  consists of a normal algebraic variety  $X$ , endowed with an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Weil divisor  $B$  such that  $K + B$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier. If  $B$  is effective, we call  $(X, B)$  a *log variety*.

**3-A. Canonical locus.** Let  $(X, B)$  be a log pair. Let  $\mu: X' \rightarrow X$  be a birational contraction such that  $(X', \text{Exc}(\mu) \cup \text{Supp } \mu_*^{-1}B)$  is log smooth. Let  $\mu^*(K + B) = K_{X'} + B_{X'}$  be the induced log pair structure on  $X'$ . Define

$$U = X \setminus \mu(\text{Supp } B_{X'}^{\geq 0}).$$

The set  $U$  does not depend on the choice of  $\mu$ , by the following

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mu: (X', B') \rightarrow (X, B)$  be a log crepant birational contraction of log pairs with log smooth support. Then  $\mu(\text{Supp } B'^{>0}) = \text{Supp } B^{>0}$ .*

*Proof.* First, we claim that  $B' \leq \mu^*B$ . Indeed,  $X$  is non-singular, so  $K_{X'} - \mu^*K$  is effective  $\mu$ -exceptional. From  $\mu^*(K + B) = K_{X'} + B'$  we obtain

$$\mu^*B - B' = K_{X'} - \mu^*K \geq 0.$$

To prove the statement, denote  $U = X \setminus \text{Supp}(B^{>0})$ . Then  $B|_U \leq 0$ . The claim for  $\mu|_{\mu^{-1}(U)}: (\mu^{-1}(U), B'|_{\mu^{-1}(U)}) \rightarrow (U, B|_U)$  gives  $B'|_{\mu^{-1}(U)} \leq 0$ . Therefore  $\mu(\text{Supp } B'^{>0}) \subseteq \text{Supp } B^{>0}$ . For the opposite inclusion, note that  $\text{Supp } B^{>0}$  has codimension one. Let  $E$  be a prime in  $\text{Supp } B^{>0}$ . Since  $\mu$  is an isomorphism in a neighbourhood of the generic point of  $E$ ,  $E$  also appears as a prime on  $X'$  and  $\text{mult}_E(B') = \text{mult}_E(B) > 0$ . Therefore  $E \subseteq \mu(\text{Supp } B'^{>0})$ .  $\square$

We call  $U$  the *canonical locus* of  $(X, B)$ . It is the largest open subset  $U$  of  $X$  with the property that  $(U, B|_U)$  has canonical singularities. We have

$$X \setminus (\text{Sing}(X) \cup \text{Supp}(B^{>0})) \subseteq U \subseteq X \setminus \text{Supp}(B^{>0}).$$

The first inclusion implies that  $U$  is dense in  $X$ . The second inclusion is an equality if  $(X, \text{Supp } B)$  is log smooth.

**3-B. Non-log canonical locus.** Let  $(X, B)$  be a log pair with log smooth support. Write  $B = \sum_E b_E E$ , where the sum runs after the prime divisors of  $X$ . Define

$$N(B) = \sum_{b_E < 0} \lfloor b_E \rfloor E + \sum_{b_E > 1} (\lceil b_E \rceil - 1) E.$$

Then  $N(B)$  is a Weil divisor. There exists a unique decomposition  $N(B) = N^+ - N^-$ , where  $N^+, N^-$  are effective divisors with no components in common. Then  $\text{Supp}(N^+) = \text{Supp}(B^{>1})$  and  $\text{Supp}(N^-) = \text{Supp}(B^{<0})$ . We have

$$\lfloor B^{>1} \rfloor - N^+ = \sum_{0 < b_E \in \mathbb{Z}} E.$$

In particular  $N^+ \leq \lfloor B^{>1} \rfloor$ , and the two divisors have the same support. Denote

$$\Delta(B) = B - N(B).$$

We have  $\Delta(B) = \sum_{b_E < 0} \{b_E\}E + \sum_{b_E > 0} (b_E + 1 - \lceil b_E \rceil)E$ . The following properties hold:

- 1) The coefficients of  $\Delta(B)$  belong to the interval  $[0, 1]$ . They are rational if and only if the coefficients of  $B$  are.
- 2)  $\text{Supp}(\Delta(B)) = \text{Supp}(B^{>0}) \cup \bigcup_{0 > b_E \notin \mathbb{Z}} E$ . In particular,  $(X, \Delta(B))$  is a log variety with log canonical singularities and log smooth support.
- 3)  $\text{mult}_E \Delta(B) = 1$  if and only if  $\text{mult}_E B \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mu: (X', B') \rightarrow (X, B)$  be a log crepant birational contraction of log pairs with log smooth support. Then  $\mu^*N(B) - N(B')$  is an effective  $\mu$ -exceptional divisor. In particular,*

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-N(B)) = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-N(B')).$$

*Proof.* The operation  $B \mapsto N(B)$  is defined componentwise, so  $\mu^*N(B) - N(B')$  is clearly  $\mu$ -exceptional. Decompose  $B = \Delta + N$  and  $B' = \Delta' + N'$ . From  $\mu^*(K + B) = K_{X'} + B'$  we deduce

$$\mu^*N - N' = K_{X'} + \Delta' - \mu^*(K + \Delta).$$

In particular, let  $E$  be a prime divisor on  $X'$ . And  $m_E = \text{mult}_E(\mu^*N - N')$ . Then

$$m_E = a(E; X, \Delta) - a(E; X', \Delta').$$

Here  $a(E; X, \Delta)$  denotes the log discrepancy of  $(X, \Delta)$  in the valuation of  $k(X)$  defined by  $E$  (see [2] for example). Since  $(X, \Delta)$  has log canonical singularities and  $\Delta'$  is effective, we obtain

$$m_E \geq 0 - 1 \geq -1.$$

If  $m_E > -1$ , then  $m_E \geq 0$ , as it is an integer. Else,  $m_E = -1$ . In this case  $a(E; X, \Delta) = 0$  and  $a(E; X', \Delta') = 1$ . From  $a(E; X, \Delta) = 0$ , we deduce that  $\mu(E)$  is the transverse intersection of some components of  $\Delta$  with coefficient 1. That is  $\mu(E)$  is the transverse intersection of some components of  $B$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . In particular,  $B \geq \Delta$  near the generic point of  $\mu(E)$ . We deduce

$$0 = a(E; X, \Delta) \geq a(E; X, B) = a(E; X', B')$$

That is  $\text{mult}_E B' \geq 1$ . Then  $\text{mult}_E \Delta' > 0$ , so  $a(E; X', \Delta') = 1 - \text{mult}_E \Delta' < 1$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $(X, B)$  be a log variety. Let  $\mu: (X', B_{X'}) \rightarrow (X, B)$  be a log crepant log resolution. Define

$$\mathcal{I} = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-N(B_{X'})).$$

The coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{I}$  is independent of the choice of  $\mu$ , by Lemma 3.2. Since  $B$  is effective, the divisor  $N(B_{X'})^- = -\lfloor B_{X'}^{\leq 0} \rfloor$  is  $\mu$ -exceptional. Therefore

$$\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(N(B_{X'})^-) = \mathcal{O}_X.$$

We call  $\mathcal{I}$  the *ideal sheaf of the non-log canonical locus* of  $(X, B)$ . It defines a closed subscheme  $(X, B)_{-\infty}$  of  $X$  by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(X, B)_{-\infty}} \rightarrow 0$$

We call  $(X, B)_{-\infty}$  the *locus of non-log canonical singularities* of  $(X, B)$ . It is empty if and only if  $(X, B)$  has log canonical singularities. The complement  $X \setminus (X, B)_{-\infty}$  is the largest open subset on which  $(X, B)$  has log canonical singularities.

**Remark 3.4.** We introduced in [1] another scheme structure on the locus of non-log canonical singularities of a log variety  $(X, B)$ . The two schemes have the same support, but their structure sheaves usually differ. To compare them, consider a log crepant log resolution  $\mu: (X', B_{X'}) \rightarrow (X, B)$ . Define

$$N^s = \lfloor B_{X'}^{\neq 1} \rfloor = N(B_{X'}) + \sum_{\text{mult}_E(B_{X'}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}} E.$$

Denote  $B_{X'} = \sum_E b_E E$ . Then  $N^s - N(B_{X'}) = \sum_{b_E \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}} E$  and  $\lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor - N^s = \sum_{b_E=1} E$ . In particular

$$N \leq N^s \leq \lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor.$$

We obtain inclusions of ideal sheaves  $\mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-N) \supseteq \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-N^s) \supseteq \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor)$ . Equivalently, we have closed embeddings of subschemes of  $X$

$$Y \hookrightarrow Y^s \hookrightarrow \text{LCS}(X, B),$$

where  $Y^s$  is the scheme structure introduced in [1] and  $\text{LCS}(X, B)$  is the subscheme structure on the non-klt locus of  $(X, B)$ .

Consider for example the log variety  $(\mathbb{A}^2, 2H_1 + H_2)$ , where  $H_1, H_2$  are the coordinate hyperplanes. The above inclusions are

$$H_1 \hookrightarrow 2H_1 \hookrightarrow 2H_1 + H_2.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\mu: (X', B') \rightarrow (X, B)$  be a log crepant birational contraction of log pairs with log smooth support. Then  $\mu^* \lfloor B^{\neq 1} \rfloor - \lfloor B_{X'}^{\neq 1} \rfloor$  is effective  $\mu$ -exceptional divisor. In particular,*

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-\lfloor B^{\neq 1} \rfloor) = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\lfloor B_{X'}^{\neq 1} \rfloor).$$

*Proof.* The operation  $B \mapsto \lfloor B^{\neq 1} \rfloor$  is defined componentwise, so  $\mu^* \lfloor B^{\neq 1} \rfloor - \lfloor B_{X'}^{\neq 1} \rfloor$  is clearly  $\mu$ -exceptional. The equality  $\mu^*(K + B) = K_{X'} + B_{X'}$  becomes

$$\mu^* \lfloor B^{\neq 1} \rfloor - \lfloor B_{X'}^{\neq 1} \rfloor = K_{X'} + B_{X'}^{-1} + \{B_{X'}^{\neq 1}\} - \mu^*(K + B^{\neq 1} + \{B^{\neq 1}\}).$$

Consider the multiplicity of the left hand side at a prime on  $X'$ . It is an integer. The right hand side is  $\geq -1$ . If  $> -1$ , it is  $\geq 0$ . Suppose it equals  $-1$ . This implies  $a(E; X, B^{\neq 1} + \{B^{\neq 1}\}) = 0$ . Then  $a(E; X, B^{\neq 1}) = 0$  and  $B = B^{\neq 1}$  near the generic point of  $\mu(E)$ . Then  $a(E; X', B_{X'}) = 0$ . Then the difference is zero. Contradiction.  $\square$

**3-C. Lc centers.** For the definition and properties of lc centers, see [2]. The only difference is that we also *declare  $X$  to be an lc center of a log variety  $(X, B)$* . This convention is important for the statement of Theorem 5.8. For example, any log variety contains at least one lc center, and contains exactly one if and only if it has klt singularities.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $(X, B)$  be a log variety with log canonical singularities. Let  $D$  be an effective  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $X$ , let  $Z$  be the union of lc centers of  $(X, B)$  contained in  $\text{Supp } D$ , with reduced structure. Then  $(X, B + \epsilon D)_{-\infty} = Z$  for  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu: X' \rightarrow X$  be a resolution of singularities such that  $(X', \text{Supp } B_{X'} \cup \text{Supp } \mu^* D)$  is log smooth, where  $\mu^*(K + B) = K_{X'} + B_{X'}$ , and  $\mu^{-1}(Z)$  has codimension one. We have  $\mu^*(K + B + \epsilon D) = K_{X'} + B_{X'} + \epsilon \mu^* D$ . Since the coefficients of  $B_{X'}$  are at most 1, for  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$  we obtain the formula

$$\begin{aligned} N(B_{X'} + \epsilon \mu^* D) &= \lfloor B_{X'}^{\leq 0} \rfloor + \sum_{\text{mult}_E(B_{X'})=1, \mu(E) \subseteq \text{Supp } D} E \\ &= \lfloor B_{X'}^{\leq 1} \rfloor + \sum_{\text{mult}_E(B_{X'})=1, \mu(E) \subseteq Z} E. \end{aligned}$$

By the proof of [1, Theorem 4.4.(i)],  $\mathcal{I}_Z = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-N(B_{X'} + \epsilon \mu^* D))$ . That is  $\mathcal{I}_Z = \mathcal{I}_{(X, B+\epsilon D)-\infty}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. INJECTIVITY FOR LOG VARIETIES

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(X, B)$  be a proper log variety with log canonical singularities. Let  $U$  be the canonical locus of  $(X, B)$ . Let  $L$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  such that  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B$ . Then the restriction homomorphism*

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U(L|_U))$$

*is injective, for all  $q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu: X' \rightarrow X$  be a birational contraction such that  $X'$  is non-singular, the exceptional locus  $\text{Exc } \mu$  has codimension one, and  $\text{Exc } \mu \cup \text{Supp}(\mu_*^{-1} B)$  has normal crossings. We can write

$$K_{X'} + \mu_*^{-1} B + \text{Exc } \mu = \mu^*(K + B) + A,$$

with  $A$  supported by  $\text{Exc } \mu$ . Since  $(X, B)$  has log canonical singularities,  $A$  is effective. Denote  $B' = \mu_*^{-1} B + \text{Exc } \mu - \{A\}$  and  $L' = \mu^* L + \lfloor A \rfloor$ . We obtain

$$L' \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_{X'} + B'.$$

Denote  $U' = X' \setminus B'$ . We claim that  $U' \subseteq \mu^{-1}(U)$ . Indeed, this is equivalent to the inclusion

$$\text{Supp}(B') \supseteq \mu^{-1} \mu(\text{Supp } B^{\geq 0}).$$

By Zariski's Main Theorem,  $\text{Exc } \mu = \mu^{-1}(X \setminus V)$ , where  $V$  is the largest open subset of  $X$  such that  $\mu$  is an isomorphism over  $V$ . Over  $X \setminus V$ , the inclusion is clear since  $\text{Exc } \mu \subseteq \text{Supp } B'$ . Over  $V$ ,  $\mu$  is an isomorphism and the inclusion becomes an equality. This proves the claim.

Since  $A$  is effective and  $\mu_* A = 0$ , we have  $\mathcal{O}_X(L) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')$ . From  $U' \subseteq \mu^{-1}(U)$  we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & H^q(U', \mathcal{O}_{U'}(L'|_{U'})) \\ \beta \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U(L|_U)) \end{array}$$

By Theorem 2.3,  $\alpha'$  is injective. Since  $\mathcal{O}_X(L) = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')$ ,  $\mu$  is an isomorphism over  $V$ , and  $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus V) \geq 2$ , Lemma 1.4 implies that  $\beta$  is injective. Then  $\alpha' \circ \beta$  is injective. The diagram is commutative, so  $\alpha$  is injective.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *In the assumptions of Theorem 4.1, let  $D$  be an effective Weil divisor such that  $\text{Supp}(D) \cap U = \emptyset$ . Then the natural homomorphism*

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L + D))$$

*is injective, for all  $q$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L + D)) \\ \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \\ H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U(L|_U)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U((L + D)|_U)) \end{array}$$

Since  $D$  is disjoint from  $U$ ,  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\beta$  is injective, we obtain that  $\gamma \circ \beta$  is injective. Therefore  $\alpha$  is injective.  $\square$

Suppose moreover that  $D$  is effective Cartier, disjoint from the canonical locus of  $(X, B)$ . Then the long exact sequence induced in cohomology by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L + D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(L + D) \rightarrow 0$$

breaks up into short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L + D)) \rightarrow H^q(D, \mathcal{O}_D(L + D)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (q \geq 0).$$

This statement generalizes the original result of Esnault and Viehweg [7, Theorem 5.1].

## 5. APPLICATIONS

Throughout this section,  $(X, B)$  is a log variety with log canonical singularities, and  $L$  is a Cartier divisor on  $X$ .

**5-A. Multiplication maps.** Suppose  $X$  is proper, and  $H$  is a Cartier divisor on  $X$ .

**Corollary 5.1** (Kollár injectivity). *Let  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B$ . Suppose the linear system  $|nH|$  is base point free for some positive integer  $n$ . Let  $m_0 \geq 1$  and  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m_0 H))$  such that  $s|_C \neq 0$  for every lc center of  $(X, B)$ . Then the multiplication*

$$\otimes s: H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L + mH)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L + (m + m_0)H))$$

*is injective for  $m \geq 1$  and any  $q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(s) + m_0 H = D \geq 0$ . There exists a rational number  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{m_0}$  such that  $(X, B + \epsilon D)$  has log canonical singularities. We have

$$L + mH \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B + \epsilon D + (m - \epsilon m_0)H.$$

There exists  $n \geq 1$  such that the linear system  $|n(m - \epsilon m_0)H|$  has no base points. Let  $Y$  be a general member, and denote  $B' = B + \epsilon D + \frac{1}{n}Y$ . Then  $(X, B')$  has log canonical singularities,  $\text{Supp } D \subseteq \text{Supp } B'$  and

$$L + mH \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B'$$

Since  $\text{Supp}(D)$  is disjoint from the canonical locus of  $(X, B')$ , Corollary 4.2 gives the injectivity of  $H^q(X, L + mH) \rightarrow H^q(X, L + mH + D)$ , for all  $q$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2** (Castelnuovo-Skoda division). *Let  $V \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H))$  a vector subspace such that  $V \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(H)$  is surjective. If  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B + tH$  and  $t > \dim_k V$ , then the multiplication map*

$$V \otimes_k H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L - H)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L))$$

*is surjective, for all  $q$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on  $\dim V$ . If  $\dim V = 1$ , then  $V = k\varphi$ , with  $\varphi: \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X(H)$ . Then  $\otimes \varphi: \mathcal{O}_X(L - H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L)$  is an isomorphism, so the claim holds.

Let  $\dim V > 1$ . Let  $\varphi \in V$  be a general element, let  $Y = (\varphi) + H$ . Then the claim is equivalent to the surjectivity of the homomorphism

$$V|_Y \otimes H^q(X, L - H)|_Y \rightarrow H^q(X, L)|_Y$$

where  $H^q(X, L)|_Y$  denotes the image of the restriction map  $H^q(X, L) \rightarrow H^q(Y, L|_Y)$ , and  $H^q(X, L - H)|_Y$  denotes the image of the restriction map  $H^q(X, L - H) \rightarrow H^q(Y, L - H|_Y)$ .

Assuming  $H^q(X, L - H)|_Y = H^q(Y, L|_Y)$  and  $H^q(X, L - H)|_Y = H^q(Y, (L - H)|_Y)$ , we prove the claim as follows: we have  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B + Y + (t - 1)H$ . By adjunction, using that  $Y$  is general, we have  $L|_Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_Y + B|_Y + (t - 1)H|_Y$ ,  $(Y, B|_Y)$  has log canonical singularities, and  $t - 1 > \dim V - 1 = \dim V|_Y$ . Therefore  $V|_Y \otimes H^q(Y, (L - H)|_Y) \rightarrow H^q(Y, L|_Y)$  is surjective by induction.

It remains to show that  $H^q(X, L) \rightarrow H^q(Y, L|_Y)$  and  $H^q(X, L - H) \rightarrow H^q(Y, L - H|_Y)$  are surjective. Consider the second homomorphism. We have

$$L - Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B + (t - 1)H = K + B + \epsilon Y + (t - 1 - \epsilon)H$$

Since  $Y$  is general,  $(X, B + \epsilon Y)$  has log canonical singularities for  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ . Since  $H$  is free, we deduce that  $L - Y \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B'$  with  $(X, B')$  having log canonical singularities, and  $Y \subseteq \text{Supp } B'$ . Corollary 4.2 gives the injectivity of  $H^{q+1}(X, L - Y) \rightarrow H^{q+1}(X, L)$ , for all  $q$ . Therefore  $H^q(X, L) \rightarrow H^q(Y, L|_Y)$  is surjective, for all  $q$ . The surjectivity of the other homomorphism is proved in the same way.  $\square$

**5-B. Regularity.** Suppose  $X$  is proper.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Suppose  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B$ . Suppose the canonical locus of  $(X, B)$  is contained in some affine open subset  $U' \subseteq X$ . Then  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be the canonical locus of  $(X, B)$ . Let  $q > 0$ . The restriction homomorphism  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_U(L|_U))$  is injective. It factors through  $H^q(U', \mathcal{O}_{U'}(L|_{U'})) = 0$ , hence it is zero. Therefore  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4** (Kodaira-Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing). *Suppose  $X$  is projective and the  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $L - K - B$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -ample. Then  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $H = L - K - B$ . We may perturb the coefficients of  $B$  and  $H$  and suppose they are rational. Then some positive multiple  $nH$  is very ample. There exists  $n > 0$  such that the linear subsystem  $|nH|$  embeds  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}$ . Let  $Y \in |nH|$  be a general member. In particular,  $X \setminus Y$  is affine.

Denote  $B' = B + \frac{1}{n}Y$ . Then  $(X, B')$  has log canonical singularities and  $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K + B'$ . The canonical locus of  $(X, B')$  is contained in  $X \setminus \text{Supp}(B')$ , which is contained in  $X \setminus Y$ . The latter is affine, so Theorem 5.3 gives  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ .  $\square$

**5-C. Irregularity as deficiency.** Suppose  $X$  is proper and  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $H$  be a general hyperplane section of  $X$ . Then we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L + H)) \rightarrow \Gamma(H, \mathcal{O}_H(L + H)) \rightarrow H^1(X, L) \rightarrow 0$$

*and isomorphisms  $H^{q-1}(H, \mathcal{O}_H(L + H)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L))$  for  $q \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L + H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(L + H) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $L + H - (K + B) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} H$  is ample, Corollary 5.4 gives  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L + H)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ . Therefore the long exact sequence induced in cohomology breaks up into the above exact sequence and isomorphisms.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $1 \leq q \leq \dim X$ . Let  $H_1, \dots, H_q$  be general hyperplane sections of  $X$ , let  $Y = \cap_{i=1}^q H_i$  and  $L' = L + \sum_{i=1}^q H_i$ . Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}_Y(L')) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L')) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(L')) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* We use induction on  $q$ . For  $q = 1$ , the statement follows from the Lemma 5.5. Let  $q \geq 2$ . Consider  $H_1$ . It is again normal, and by the adjunction formula we have

$$(K + B + H_1)|_{H_1} = K_{H_1} + B_1,$$

for a log structure  $(H_1, B_1)$  on  $H_1$  with log canonical singularities. Then  $(L + H_1)|_{H_1} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_{H_1} + B_1$ . From  $L' = (L + H_1) + \sum_{i=2}^q H_i$ , we obtain by induction an exact sequence

$$\Gamma(H_1, \mathcal{O}_{H_1}(L')) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(L')) \rightarrow H^{q-1}(H_1, \mathcal{O}_{H_1}(L + H_1)) \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 5.5,  $H^{q-1}(H_1, \mathcal{O}_{H_1}(L + H_1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(X, L)$ . Also, we have an exact sequence

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L')) \rightarrow \Gamma(H_1, \mathcal{O}_{H_1}(L')) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L' - H_1)).$$

Since  $L' - H_1 - (K + B) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=2}^q H_i$  is ample, we deduce from Corollary 5.4 that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L' - H_1)) = 0$ . Therefore  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L')) \rightarrow \Gamma(H_1, \mathcal{O}_{H_1}(L'))$  is surjective. Then they have the same restriction to  $Y$ , and we obtain the claim.  $\square$

In particular,  $\dim_k H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L))$  equals the deficiency of the restricted linear system  $|L + H_1 + \dots + H_q|_Y$  (see Hodge [10] for the original statement).

**5-D. Torsion freeness.** Suppose  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** *Suppose  $X$  is proper over an affine variety. Let  $h \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  such that  $h|_C \neq 0$  for every lc center  $C$  of  $(X, B)$ . Then the multiplication*

$$\otimes h: H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L))$$

*is injective, for all  $q$ .*

*Proof. Step 1:* We prove the statement in the special case when  $(X, \text{Supp } B)$  is log smooth. Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  be a proper morphism, with  $S$  affine. Let  $S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^N$  be a closed embedding. Let  $\mathbb{P}^N \setminus \mathbb{A}^N = H_0$  be the hyperplane at infinity. Let  $S'$  be the closure of  $S$  in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ .

By Nagata, there exists an open embedding  $X \subseteq \bar{X}$  such that  $\bar{X}$  is proper over  $k$ . The morphism  $\pi$  induces a rational map  $\pi: \bar{X} \dashrightarrow S'$ , which is regular on  $X$ . By Hironaka, there exists a birational contraction  $\mu: X' \rightarrow \bar{X}$  such that  $\mu$  is an isomorphism over  $X$ , the induced rational map  $\pi': X' \rightarrow S'$  is regular everywhere, and  $(X', \Sigma' + \text{Supp } B')$  is log smooth, where  $B'$  is the closure of  $B$  in  $X'$  and  $\Sigma' = X' \setminus X$ .

Denote  $H' = \pi'^*(H_0)$ . By construction, the linear system  $|H'|$  has no base points. Since  $X \rightarrow S$  is proper, Lemma 1.9 gives  $X = \pi'^{-1}(S)$ . That is  $\Sigma' = \text{Supp } H'$ .

Using the open embedding  $X \subseteq X'$ ,  $h$  becomes a rational function on  $X'$  with poles at most along  $\Sigma'$ . There exists  $m_0 \geq 1$  such that  $(h) + m_0 H'$  is an effective divisor on  $X'$ . So  $h$  identifies with a global section  $h' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(m_0 H'))$ .

Let  $\bar{L}$  be the closure of  $L$  in  $X'$ . Then  $\bar{L} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_{X'} + B' + N$  for some  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $N$  supported by  $\Sigma'$ . Denote  $L' = \bar{L} - \lfloor N \rfloor$ . Then

$$L' \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_{X'} + B' + \{N\},$$

and the log variety  $(X', B' + \{N\})$  has log canonical singularities. Its lc centers are the closure of the lc centers of  $(X, B)$ . By assumption,  $h' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(m_0 H'))$  does not vanish identically on any of these lc centers. Let  $q \geq 0$ . By Corollary 5.1, the multiplication

$$\otimes h': H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L' + mH')) \rightarrow H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L' + (m + m_0)H'))$$

is injective, for all  $m \geq 1$ . By Lemma 1.1, we obtain an injection

$$\otimes h': \varinjlim_m H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L' + mH')) \rightarrow \varinjlim_m H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L' + (m + m_0)H')).$$

Since  $X$  is the complement in  $X'$  of the Cartier divisor  $H'$ ,  $h'|_X = h$  and  $L'|_X = L$ , Lemma 1.8 identifies this homomorphism with

$$\otimes h: H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)).$$

*Step 2:* We reduce the general case to Step 1. By Hironaka, there exists a birational contraction  $\mu: X' \rightarrow X$  such that  $(X', \text{Supp } B_{X'})$  is log smooth, where  $\mu^*(K+B) = K_{X'} + B_{X'}$  is the log crepant pullback. Let  $A = \lceil -B_{X'}^{<0} \rceil$ ,  $B' = B_{X'}^{\geq 0} + \{B_{X'}^{<0}\}$  and  $L' = \mu^*L + A$ . Then

$$L' \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_{X'} + B'$$

and  $(X', B')$  has log canonical singularities, with log smooth support. If  $C'$  is an lc center of  $(X', B')$ , then  $\mu(C')$  is an lc center of  $(X, B)$ . Therefore  $\mu^*h \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'})$  does not vanish identically on any lc center of  $(X', B')$ . Step 1 gives the injectivity of the multiplication map

$$\otimes \mu^*h: H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \rightarrow H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')).$$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_X(L) = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')$ , this fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) & \xrightarrow{\otimes \mu^*h} & H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \\ \beta \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) & \xrightarrow{\otimes h} & H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \end{array}$$

By Lemma 1.4,  $\beta$  is injective. Since  $\otimes \mu^*h$  is injective, it follows that  $\otimes h$  is injective.  $\square$

Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  be a proper morphism.

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $w: V \subseteq S$  be an open subset such that  $V \cap \pi(C) \neq \emptyset$  for every lc center  $C$  of  $(X, B)$ . Then the homomorphism*

$$R^q \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(L) \rightarrow w_*(R^q \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(L)|_V)$$

*is injective, for all  $q$ . In other words, a local section of  $R^q \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(L)$  is zero if its support does not contain the  $\pi$ -image of some lc center of  $(X, B)$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $\mathcal{F} = R^q\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(L)$ . The statement is local on  $S$ . We may suppose  $S$  is affine, and we have to show that the restriction  $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{F})$  is injective.

There exists a non-zero divisor  $h \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  such that  $D(h) \subseteq V$  and  $D(h) \cap \pi(C) \neq \emptyset$  for every lc center  $C$  of  $(X, B)$ . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(S, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(V, \mathcal{F}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \Gamma(D(h), \mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

Therefore suffices to show that  $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(D(h), \mathcal{F})$  is injective. This homomorphism identifies with the localization

$$\Gamma(S, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{F})_h,$$

which is injective if and only if  $\otimes h^m: \Gamma(S, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{F})$  is injective for all  $m \geq 1$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) & \xrightarrow{\otimes \mu^* h^m} & H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma(S, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\otimes h^m} & \Gamma(S, \mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

Since  $S$  is affine, the Leray spectral sequence implies that the vertical arrows are bijective. By Lemma 5.7, the top horizontal arrow is injective. Therefore  $\otimes h^m$  is injective.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.9** (Kollár torsion freeness). *Suppose  $\pi(C) = S$  for every lc center  $C$  of  $(X, B)$ . Then the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module  $R^q\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(L)$  has no torsion, for all  $q$ .*

**Corollary 5.10** (Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing). *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  be a proper morphism, generically finite onto its image. Suppose  $X$  is non-singular. Then  $R^q\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(K) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ .*

*Proof.* We may suppose  $S = \pi(X)$ . Then  $V = \{s \in S; \dim X_s = 0\}$  is a non-empty open subset. Since  $X$  is the only lc center of  $X$  and  $V \cap \pi(X) \neq \emptyset$ , the homomorphism

$$R^q\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(K) \rightarrow w_*(R^q\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(K)|_V)$$

is injective. The right hand side is zero for  $q > 0$ . Therefore  $R^q\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(K) = 0$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $S$  is a nonsingular curve,  $X$  is nonsingular, and  $\pi$  is surjective. Let  $P \in S$  be a closed point such that the support of the fiber  $\Sigma = \text{Supp } X_P$  is a normal crossings divisor. Then Steenbrink [16] showed that  $R^q\pi_*\Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma)$  is locally free for all  $p, q$ . For differential forms of top degree we can generalize his result as follows:

**Corollary 5.11.** *Suppose  $S$  is the germ of a non-singular curve near a closed point  $P$ . Suppose  $R^q\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(L)$  contains a section with support  $P$ . Then  $\pi^{-1}(P)$  contains a log canonical center of  $(X, B)$ , and  $\pi^{-1}(P)$  is not contained in  $\text{Supp}(B)$ .*

*Proof.* If no log canonical center of  $(X, B)$  is mapped onto  $P$ , then  $R^q\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(L)$  has no torsion, a contradiction. Suppose  $\text{Supp } B$  contains the support of the fiber  $X_P$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B - \epsilon X_P$  is effective. Then  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B - \epsilon X_P$ , and no lc center of  $(X, B - \epsilon X_P)$  is mapped onto  $P$ . Then  $R^q\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(L)$  has no torsion, again a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.12** (Ohsawa-Kollár vanishing). *Let  $(X', B)$  be a log variety with log canonical singularities, let  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  be a proper morphism. Suppose  $X$  is projective,  $H$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ample divisor on  $X$  and  $L$  is Cartier on  $X'$  such that  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_{X'} + B + f^*H$ . Then  $H^p(X, R^q f_* L) = 0$  for  $p > 0, q \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on  $\dim X$ . If  $\dim X = 0$ , the statement is clear. Suppose  $\dim X > 0$ . Let  $m \gg 1$  such that  $|mH|$  embeds  $X$  into the projective space and  $H^p(X, R^q f_* L(mH)) = 0$  for  $p > 0, q \geq 0$ . Let  $C \in |mH|$  be a general member. Denote  $S = f^*C$ ,  $g = f|_S: S \rightarrow C$ ,  $L_S = (L + S)|_S$ . By adjunction,  $L|_S \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_S + B|_S$  and  $(S, B|_S)$  has log canonical singularities. Consider the long exact sequence induced by  $f_*$  and the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow L(S) \rightarrow L_S \rightarrow 0.$$

The edge homomorphism  $R^{q-1} g_*(L_S) \rightarrow R^q f_* L$  is zero by Theorem 5.8, since the first term is supported by  $C$ , which contains no  $f$ -image of an lc center of  $(X, B)$ . Therefore the long exact sequence breaks up into short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow R^q f_* L \rightarrow R^q f_*(L + S) \rightarrow R^q g_* L_S \rightarrow 0$$

We obtain in cohomology an exact sequence

$$H^{p-1}(C, R^q g_* L_S) \rightarrow H^p(X, R^q f_* L) \rightarrow H^p(X, R^q f_*(L + S))$$

The third term is zero by assumption. Since  $\dim \operatorname{Im}(g) < \dim \operatorname{Im}(f)$ , the first term is zero for  $p - 1 > 0$  by induction. Therefore we obtain

$$H^p(X, R^q f_* L) = 0 \quad (p > 1, q \geq 0).$$

Consider the Leray spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(X, R^q f_* L) \implies H^{p+q}(X', L).$$

We have  $E_2^{pq} = 0$  for  $p > 1$ . Therefore  $d_r = 0$  for  $r \geq 2$ . In particular,

$$H^1(X, R^q f_* L) \rightarrow H^{1+q}(X', L)$$

is injective. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{1+q}(X', L) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & H^{1+q}(X', L + S) \\ \uparrow \beta & & \uparrow \\ H^1(X, R^q f_* L) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^1(X, R^q f_* L(C)) \end{array}$$

We have  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B + \frac{1}{m}S$ . By Theorem 4.1,  $\alpha'$  is injective. From above,  $\beta$  is injective. Therefore  $\alpha$  is injective. By construction,  $H^1(X, R^q f_* L(C)) = 0$ . Therefore  $H^1(X, R^q f_* L) = 0$ .  $\square$

## 6. RESTRICTION TO THE NON-LOG CANONICAL LOCUS

Let  $(X, B)$  be a proper log variety, let  $L$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  such that  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B$ . Suppose the locus of non-log canonical singularities  $Y = (X, B)_{-\infty}$  is non-empty.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Suppose  $(X, \operatorname{Supp} B)$  is log smooth.*

1) The long exact sequence induced in cohomology by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(L) \rightarrow 0$$

breaks up into short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{I}_Y(L)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(L)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (q \geq 0).$$

2) Let  $E$  be a prime divisor on  $X$  such that  $\text{mult}_E B = 1$ . The long exact sequence induced in cohomology by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(L - E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L - E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(L - E) \rightarrow 0$$

breaks up into short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{I}_Y(L - E)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L - E)) \rightarrow H^q(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(L - E)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (q \geq 0).$$

*Proof.* Let  $N = N(B)$ , so that  $\mathcal{I}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X(-N)$ . We have  $L - N \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + \Delta$  and  $N$  is supported by  $\Delta$ . By Corollary 4.2, the natural map  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L - N)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L))$  is injective for all  $q$ .

We have  $L - E - N \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + \Delta - E$ . Since  $\text{mult}_E \Delta = 1$ , we infer that  $\Delta - E$  has again coefficients in  $[0, 1]$ , and supports  $N$ . Therefore  $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L - E - N)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L - E))$  is injective for all  $q$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.2** (Extension from non-lc locus). *We have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}_Y(L)) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(L)) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu: (X', B_{X'}) \rightarrow (X, B)$  be a log crepant log resolution. Let  $N(B_{X'}) = N = N^+ - N^-$  and  $\Delta = B_{X'} - N(B_{X'})$ . We have

$$\mu^* L - N \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_{X'} + \Delta$$

and  $N^+$  is supported by  $\Delta$ . By Theorem 2.3 we obtain for all  $q$  short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\mu^* L - N)) \rightarrow H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\mu^* L + N^-)) \rightarrow H^q(N', \mathcal{O}_{N'}(\mu^* L + N^-)) \rightarrow 0$$

By definition,  $\mathcal{I}_Y = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-N)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{I}_Y(L) = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\mu^* L - N)$ , and we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\mu^* L + N^- - N^+)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^q} & H^q(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\mu^* L + N^-)) \\ \beta^q \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^q(X, \mathcal{I}_Y(L)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^q} & H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \end{array}$$

From above,  $\gamma^q$  is injective. By Lemma 1.2,  $\beta^1$  is injective. Therefore  $\gamma^1 \circ \beta^1$  is injective. Therefore  $\alpha^1$  is injective, which is equivalent to our statement.  $\square$

## 6-A. Applications.

**Theorem 6.3** (Inversion of adjunction). *Let  $(X, B)$  be a proper connected log variety such that  $K + B \sim_{\mathbb{R}} 0$ . Suppose  $Y = (X, B)_{-\infty}$  is non-empty. Then  $Y$  is connected, and intersects every lc center of  $(X, B)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.2, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}_Y) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

We have  $0 = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}_Y), k \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Therefore  $k \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ , so  $Y$  is connected.

Let  $C$  be a log canonical center of  $(X, B)$ . Let  $\mu: (X', B_{X'}) \rightarrow (X, B)$  be a log resolution such that  $\mu^{-1}(C)$  has codimension one. Let  $\Sigma$  be the part of  $B_{X'}^{-1}$  contained in  $\mu^{-1}(C)$ . We have  $\mu(\Sigma) = C$ . Let  $B' = B_{X'} - \Sigma$  and  $N = N(B') = N(B_{X'})$ . We have

$$-\Sigma - N \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_{X'} + \Delta(B')$$

The boundary  $\Delta(B')$  supports  $N^+$ . By Theorem 2.3, we obtain a surjection

$$\Gamma(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\Sigma + N^-)) \rightarrow \Gamma(N^+, \mathcal{O}_{N^+}(-\Sigma + N^-)).$$

We have  $\Gamma(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\Sigma + N^-)) \subseteq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{I}_C) = 0$ . Therefore  $\Gamma(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\Sigma + N^-)) = 0$ . Therefore

$$\Gamma(N^+, \mathcal{O}_{N^+}(-\Sigma + N^-)) = 0.$$

Since  $\Gamma(N^+, \mathcal{O}_{N^+}(-\Sigma + N^-)) \subseteq \Gamma(N^+, \mathcal{O}_{N^+}(N^-)) \neq 0$ , we infer  $\Sigma \cap N^+ \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $C \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4** (Extension from lc centers). *Let  $(X, B)$  be a proper log variety with log canonical singularities. Let  $L$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  such that  $H = L - (K + B)$  is a semiample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Let  $m_0 \geq 1$ ,  $D \in |m_0 H|$ , and denote by  $Z$  the union of lc centers of  $(X, B)$  contained in  $\text{Supp } D$ . Then the restriction homomorphism*

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(L))$$

*is surjective.*

*Proof.* We have  $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K + B + \epsilon D + (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{m_0})H$ . By Lemma 3.6, we may choose  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$  such that  $(X, B + \epsilon D)_{-\infty} = Z$ . Let  $m_1 \geq 1$  such that the linear system  $|m_1 H|$  has no base points. Let  $D' \in |m_1 H|$  be a general member. Then

$$L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K + B + \epsilon D + (\frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{\epsilon}{m_0 m_1})D'$$

and  $(X, B + \epsilon D + (\frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{\epsilon}{m_0 m_1})D')_{-\infty} = Z$ . By Theorem 6.2,  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(L))$  is surjective.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.5.** *Let  $(X, B)$  be a proper log variety with log canonical singularities such that the linear system  $|m_1(K + B)|$  has no base points for some  $m_1 \geq 1$ . Let  $m_0 \geq 1$ ,  $D \in |m_0(K + B)|$ , and denote by  $Z$  the union of lc centers of  $(X, B)$  contained in  $\text{Supp } D$ . Then*

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK + mB)) \rightarrow \Gamma(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(mK + mB))$$

*is surjective for every  $m \geq 2$  such that  $mK + mB$  is Cartier.*

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 6.4 to  $m(K + B) = K + B + (m - 1)(K + B)$ .  $\square$

## 7. DIFFERENTIAL FORMS OF INTERMEDIATE DEGREE

Let  $(X, \Sigma)$  be a log smooth pair such that  $X$  is proper and  $U = X \setminus \Sigma$  is contained in an affine open subset of  $X$ .

**Theorem 7.1.**  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma)) = 0$  for  $p+q > \dim X$ . In particular,  $H^q(X, K_X + \Sigma) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ .

*Proof.* Consider the de Rham complex  $\Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma)$  of rational differential forms on  $X$  with at most logarithmic poles along  $\Sigma$ . Let  $U'$  be an affine open subset of  $X$  containing  $U$ . The inclusions  $U \subseteq U' \subset X$  induce a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}^r(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{H}^r(U, \Omega_U^\bullet) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \mathbb{H}^r(U', \Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma)|_{U'}) & \end{array}$$

Since  $U'$  is affine,  $H^q(U', \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma)|_{U'}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{H}^r(U', \Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma)|_{U'})$  is the  $r$ -th homology of the differential complex  $\Gamma(U', \Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma))$ . Since  $\Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma) = 0$  for  $p > \dim X$ , we obtain

$$\mathbb{H}^r(U', \Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma)|_{U'}) = 0 \text{ for } r > \dim X.$$

Let  $r > \dim X$ . It follows that the horizontal map is zero. But it is an isomorphism by Theorem 1.10. Therefore

$$\mathbb{H}^r(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma)) = 0.$$

By Theorem 1.11, we have a non-canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{H}^r(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log \Sigma)) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=r} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma))$$

Therefore  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma)) = 0$  for all  $p+q = r$ .  $\square$

Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$  such that  $T \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  and  $\text{Supp}\{T\} \subseteq \Sigma$ . In particular,  $T|_U$  has integer coefficients.

**Theorem 7.2.**  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor T \rfloor)) = 0$  for  $p+q > \dim X$ . In particular,  $H^q(X, K_X + \Sigma + \lfloor T \rfloor) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ .

*Proof.* We use the notations of the cyclic covering trick. Let  $X \setminus \Sigma \subseteq U'$ , with  $U'$  an affine open subset of  $X$ . Let  $V' = \tau^{-1}(U')$ . By Lemma 1.13, the Leray spectral sequence associated to  $\pi|_{V'}: V' \rightarrow V$  and  $\Omega_Y^p(\log \Sigma_Y)|_{V'}$  degenerates into isomorphisms

$$H^q(V, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma)|_V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(V', \Omega_Y^p(\log \Sigma_Y)|_{V'}).$$

Since  $V$  is affine, the left hand side is zero for  $q > 0$ . Therefore

$$H^q(V', \Omega_Y^p(\log \Sigma_Y)|_{V'}) = 0 \text{ for } q > 0.$$

In particular, the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{pq} = H^q(V', \Omega_Y^p(\log \Sigma_Y)|_{V'}) \implies \mathbb{H}^q(V', \Omega_Y^\bullet(\log \Sigma_Y)|_{V'})$$

degenerates into isomorphisms

$$h^r(\Gamma(V', \Omega_Y^\bullet(\log \Sigma_Y))) \simeq \mathbb{H}^r(V', \Omega_Y^\bullet(\log \Sigma_Y)|_{V'}),$$

where the first term is the  $r$ -th homology group of the differential complex  $\Gamma(V', \Omega_Y^\bullet(\log \Sigma_Y))$ . Since  $\Omega_Y^p(\log \Sigma_Y) = 0$  for  $p > \dim Y$ , we obtain

$$\mathbb{H}^r(V', \Omega_Y^\bullet(\log \Sigma_Y)|_{V'}) = 0 \text{ for } r > \dim Y.$$

Let  $V = \tau^{-1}(U) = Y \setminus \Sigma_Y$ . The restriction map

$$\mathbb{H}^r(Y, \Omega_Y^\bullet(\log \Sigma_Y)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^r(V, \Omega_Y^\bullet(\log \Sigma_Y)|_V)$$

is an isomorphism by Theorem 1.10. It factors through  $\mathbb{H}^r(V', \Omega_Y^\bullet(\log \Sigma_Y)|_{V'})$ , hence it is zero for  $r > \dim Y$ . Therefore

$$\mathbb{H}^r(Y, \Omega_Y^\bullet(\log \Sigma_Y)) = 0 \text{ for } r > \dim Y$$

By Theorem 1.11,  $\mathbb{H}^r(Y, \Omega_Y^\bullet(\log \Sigma_Y)) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=r} H^q(Y, \Omega_Y^p(\log \Sigma_Y))$ . Therefore

$$H^q(Y, \Omega_Y^p(\log \Sigma_Y)) = 0 \text{ for } p + q > \dim Y.$$

The cyclic group of order  $r$  acts on  $H^q(Y, \Omega_Y^p(\log \Sigma_Y))$ , with eigenspace decomposition

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor iT \rfloor)).$$

Therefore  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor T \rfloor)) = 0$ .  $\square$

### 7-A. Applications.

**Corollary 7.3.**  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\Sigma - \lfloor T \rfloor)) = 0$  for  $p + q < \dim X$ . In particular,  $H^q(X, -\Sigma - \lfloor T \rfloor) = 0$  for all  $q < \dim X$ .

*Proof.* This is the dual form of Theorem 7.2, using Serre duality and the isomorphism  $(\Omega_X^p(\Sigma))^\vee \simeq \Omega_X^{\dim X - p}(\log \Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X - \Sigma)$ .  $\square$

For  $T = 0$ , we obtain  $H^q(X, \mathcal{I}_\Sigma \otimes \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma)) = 0$  for all  $p + q < \dim X$ . In particular,  $H^q(X, \mathcal{I}_\Sigma) = 0$  for all  $q < \dim X$ .

**Corollary 7.4.** Let  $\nu: \Sigma^\nu \rightarrow \Sigma$  be the normalization. Then

$$\nu^*: H^q(X, \Omega_X^p \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\lfloor T \rfloor)) \rightarrow H^q(\Sigma^\nu, \Omega_{\Sigma^\nu}^p \otimes \nu^* \mathcal{O}_X(-\lfloor T \rfloor))$$

is bijective for  $p + q < \dim \Sigma$  and injective for  $p + q = \dim \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $K^{pq} = H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\Sigma - \lfloor T \rfloor))$ . The short exact sequence of Lemma 1.12 induces a long exact sequence in cohomology

$$K^{pq} \rightarrow H^q(X, \Omega_X^p \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\lfloor T \rfloor)) \xrightarrow{\alpha^{qp}} H^q(\Sigma^\nu, \Omega_{\Sigma^\nu}^p \otimes \nu^* \mathcal{O}_X(-\lfloor T \rfloor)) \rightarrow K^{p, q+1}$$

By Corollary 7.3,  $\alpha^{qp}$  is bijective for  $q + 1 < \dim X - p$ , and injective for  $q + 1 = \dim X - p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.5** (cf. Lefschetz). Let  $\nu: \Sigma^\nu \rightarrow \Sigma$  be the normalization. Then  $\nu^*: H_{DR}^r(X) \rightarrow H_{DR}^r(\Sigma^\nu)$  is bijective for  $r < \dim \Sigma$  and injective for  $r = \dim \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* Set  $T = 0$ . The Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_1$  and is compatible with the maps above.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.6.** Suppose  $\text{Supp}\{T\} = \Sigma$ . Then  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor T \rfloor)) = 0$  for all  $p + q \neq \dim X$ .

*Proof.* For  $p + q > \dim X$ , this follows from above. For  $p + q < \dim X$ , apply the dual form to  $-T$ , using  $-\Sigma - \lfloor -T \rfloor = \lfloor T \rfloor$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.7.** *Suppose  $X \setminus \text{Supp}\{T\}$  is contained in an affine open subset of  $X$ . Then  $H^q(X, [T]) = 0$  for  $q < \dim X$ .*

**Theorem 7.8** (Akizuki-Nakano). *Let  $X$  be projective non-singular variety defined over  $k$ , an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let  $L$  be an ample divisor. Then  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(L)) = 0$  for  $p + q > \dim X$ .*

*Dually,  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(-L)) = 0$  for  $p + q < \dim X$ .*

*Proof.* There exists  $r \geq 1$  such that the general member  $Y \in |rL|$  is non-singular. Set  $T = L - \frac{1}{r}Y$  and  $\Sigma = Y$ . Then  $T \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ ,  $\text{Supp}\{T\} = \Sigma$  and  $X \setminus \Sigma$  is affine. We also have  $[T] = L - Y$ . By Theorem 7.2, we obtain

$$H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L - Y)) = 0 \text{ for } p + q > \dim Y.$$

The short exact sequence of Lemma 1.12, tensored by  $L$ , gives an exact sequence

$$H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log Y)(L - Y)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(L)) \rightarrow H^q(Y, \Omega_Y^p(L)).$$

Let  $p + q > \dim X$ . The first term is zero from above, and the third is zero by induction. Therefore  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.9** (Kodaira). *Let  $X$  be projective non-singular variety defined over  $k$ , an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let  $L$  be an ample divisor. Then  $H^q(X, K_X + L) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ .*

## 8. OPEN QUESTIONS

**Question 8.1.** *Let  $(X, \Sigma)$  be a log smooth pair, with  $X$  proper. Denote  $U = X \setminus \Sigma$ . Then  $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\log \Sigma)) \rightarrow H^q(U, \Omega_U^p)$  is injective for  $p + q > \dim X$ ?*

**Example 8.2.** Let  $P \in S$  be the germ of non-singular point, of dimension  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $\mu: X \rightarrow S$  be the blow-up at  $P$ , with exceptional locus  $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ . Denote  $U = X \setminus E$ . The residue map

$$R^{d-1}\mu_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E) \rightarrow R^{d-1}\mu_*\mathcal{O}_E(K_E)$$

is an isomorphism, so  $R^{d-1}\mu_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E)$  is a skyscraper sheaf on  $X$  centered at  $P$ . Since  $\mu$  is an isomorphism on  $U$ ,  $R^{d-1}(\mu|_U)_*\mathcal{O}_E(K_E) = 0$ . Therefore the restriction homomorphism

$$R^{d-1}\mu_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E) \rightarrow R^{d-1}(\mu|_U)_*\mathcal{O}_U(K_U)$$

is not injective.

**Question 8.3.** *Let  $(X, \Sigma)$  be a log smooth pair. Denote  $U = X \setminus \Sigma$ . Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  be a proper morphism, let  $\pi|_U: U \rightarrow S$  be its restriction to  $U$ . Suppose that  $\pi(C) = \pi(X)$  for every strata  $C$  of  $(X, \Sigma)$ . Then  $R^q\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \Sigma) \rightarrow R^q(\pi|_U)_*\mathcal{O}_U(K_U)$  is injective, for all  $q$ ?*

**Question 8.4.** *Let  $(X, B)$  be a proper log variety. Suppose the locus of non-log canonical singularities  $Y = (X, B)_{-\infty}$  is non-empty. Let  $L$  be a Cartier divisor on  $X$  such that  $L \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K + B$ . Then the long exact sequence induced in cohomology by the short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(L) \rightarrow 0.$$

*breaks up into short exact sequences*

$$0 \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{I}_Y(L)) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \rightarrow H^q(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(L)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (q \geq 0).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ambro F., *Quasi-log varieties*, in *Birational Geometry: Linear systems and finitely generated algebras: Collected papers*. Iskovskikh, V.A. and Shokurov, V.V. (Ed.), Proc. V.A. Steklov Inst. Math. 240 (2003), 220–239.
- [2] Ambro, F., *Basic properties of log canonical centers*. preprint math/0611205, 2006.
- [3] Atiyah, M. F; Hodge, W. V. D, *Integrals of the second kind on an algebraic variety*. Ann. of Math. 62 (1955), 56–91.
- [4] Deligne P., *Equations différentielles a points singulieres regulieres*. LNM 163, Springer.
- [5] Deligne P., *Théorie de Hodge II*, Publ. Math. IHES , 40 (1971), 5-57.
- [6] Esnault H., Viehweg E., *Logarithmic de Rham complexes and vanishing theorems*, Invent. Math. 86 (1) (1986), 161–194.
- [7] Esnault H., Viehweg, E., *Lectures on vanishing theorems*, DMV Seminar, 20. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [8] Grothendieck, A., *Eléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de Jean Dieudonné): III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, Première partie*. Publ. Math. IHES 11 (1961), 5167.
- [9] Grothendieck, A., *On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties*. Publ. Math. l’I.H.E.S., tome 29 (1966), pp. 95–103.
- [10] Hodge, W.V.D., *A note on the Riemann-Roch theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. 30 (1955), 291–296.
- [11] Kawamata, Y., *A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam’s vanishing theorem*, Math. Ann. 261 (1) (1982), 43–46.
- [12] Kollár J., *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves I*, Ann. of Math. (2) 123 (1986), no. 1, 11–42.
- [13] Mumford, D., *Pathologies of modular surfaces*. Amer. J. Math. **83** (1961), 339–342.
- [14] Ramanujam, C. P., *Remarks on the Kodaira vanishing theorem*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) (1972), no 1, 121-124.
- [15] Serre, J.-P., *Faisceaux Algébriques Cohérents*. Ann. Math., 2nd Ser., **61**:2 (1955), 197–278.
- [16] Steenbrink, J.H.M., *Mixed Hodge Structure on the Vanishing Cohomology*, Nordic Summer School, Symposium in Mathematics, Oslo 1976, 525–563.
- [17] Tankeev, S. G., *On  $n$ -dimensional canonically polarized varieties and varieties of fundamental type*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 35 (1) (1971), 31-44.
- [18] Viehweg, E., *Vanishing theorems*. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 335 (1982), 1–8.
- [19] Zariski, O., *Algebraic surfaces*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 3 (1935).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS “SIMION STOILOW” OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, P.O. BOX 1-764,  
RO-014700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA.

*E-mail address:* florin.ambro@imar.ro